

How To Win Your Bid Protest

April 28, 2021

Meghan A. Douris, <u>douris@oles.com</u>
Howard W. Roth, <u>roth@oles.com</u>
Alix K. Town, town@oles.com

Roadmap to Protest Success

- The Best Forum to Win Your Bid Protest
 - –Secret Weapon: Agency Protests
 - –Winning at Government Accountability Office (GAO)
 - Winning at U.S. Court of Federal Claims (COFC)
- Maximizing Debriefings
- Defending your Contract Award Under Protest
- Understanding Protective Orders



The Best Forum to Win Your Bid Protest

- Agency vs GAO vs COFC Protests
- Key Considerations:
 - What are you challenging?
 - -Solicitation -Elimination -Award -Corrective Action
 - Stay of Performance/Award
 - Scope of Discovery
 - Evidence Considered
 - Differing Legal Interpretations
 - Subject Matter of Your Protest
 - Hearings
 - Opposing Counsel
 - Protest Costs



AGENCY PROTESTS: A CONTRACTOR'S SECRET WEAPON

- Smart contractors utilize agency protests which are cheaper, quicker, not revealed to other offerors, and have little downside on pre-award issues.
- Agency Protest Stay of Contract Award and Performance
- GAO Automatic Stay of Performance
- Secrecy: Loose Lips Sink Ships
- Lower Attorney Fees and Quicker Decision
- Your Competitors Cannot Participate
- Risks



How to Win at GAO

- Maximize the debriefing process
- Drafting initial protest arguments and document requests to maximize discovery
- Take advantage of procedure (briefing schedule)
- Better to be dismissed as premature than dismissed as untimely
- Reviewing the Agency Report
 - 2 days to request additional docs
 - Comments strategy
 - Supp. Protest strategy
 - Supp. protests to target more docs.
 - Supp. protests to win
- Drop weaker arguments before GAO closes the record
- Be prepared to cut bait if need be



Examples of Viable Post-Award Bid Protest Strategies

Deviation from RFP's stated evaluation criteria

- Use of unstated evaluation criteria (or failure to consider stated evaluation criteria)
- Weighting evaluation factors inconsistent with the weighting stated in the RFP
- Use of a clearly irrational evaluation method (not disclosed in RFP)
- Unequal treatment of offerors
- Inadequate documentation of the source selection record
- Flawed technical evaluation
 - Acceptance of proposal that fails to meet a material requirement
 - Acceptance of a blanket offer of compliance
 - Acceptance of an offer that fails to provide information required by the RFP
 - Evaluation plainly inconsistent with, or ignores, information in technical proposal
 - Mechanical evaluation of technical proposal against government estimate

Flawed past performance evaluation

- Failure to consider relevance of past performance
- Failure to consider past performance information that is "too close at hand" to ignore
- Unreasonably crediting offeror with past performance of affiliates that were not show to have meaningful involvement in proposed contract performance
- Consideration of past performance reference that did not meet relevancy criteria in RFP
- Consideration of past performance of subcontractor where prohibited by the RFP

Flawed cost evaluation (costreimbursement contracts)

- Failure to perform cost realism analysis
- Material flaws in cost realism analysis
- Mechanical application of government estimate in cost realism analysis
- Use of unadjusted cost in tradeoff decision

Flawed price analysis

- Failure to conduct a required price realism analysis
- Use of a price realism analysis where not called for in RFP
- Mechanical application of government estimate in price realism analysis
- Use of price realism analysis to adjust evaluated price

Flawed discussions

- Lack of "meaningful" discussions
- Misleading discussions
- Discussions favor one offeror over another
- Flawed best value decision
- Organizational conflict of interest
- Waiver of definitive responsibility criteria
- Failure to refer the elimination of a small business based on a responsibility factor or traditionalresponsibility-factor to the SBA for a Certificate of Competency proceeding



Win Your Protest at COFC

- Reviewing the Administrative Record
 - Take advantage of COFC favorable discovery rules
 - Different briefing schedule from GAO
- Open up lines of communication with DOJ early advocate for corrective action
- Be cognizant of COFC evidence rules
- TRO standard
- Study your assigned Judge's opinions
- Second-bite protests



Maximizing Debriefing for Protests

Why does it matter for bid protests?

- Obtain info that helps you decide whether to protest
- Obtain info that helps you win a protest
 - Obtain information that supports suspected protest arguments
 - Obtain information that allows you to protest as many aspects of the evaluation as possible

Key Strategies

- Request a Debriefing Immediately After You Receive First Notice of Award/Elimination
- Study the RFP Submission/Evaluation Criteria and Your Proposal
- Know the Common/Successful Bid Protest Arguments
- Assign Roles to Your Debriefing Team (1 person just taking notes)
- Don't Argue with the Agency at the Debriefing
- Don't Offer the Agency the Chance to Correct/Clarify a Misstatement
- Key Regulations: FAR 15.505; FAR 15.506



DOD Enhanced Debriefings

- DoD debriefings: when does the clock start to tick?
- Under CICA Agencies are required to stay contract performance if they receives a post-award bid protest from the GAO within five calendar days of a protestor's debriefing.
- In March 2019, DoD implemented an enhanced debriefing process, which requires post-award debriefings must provide two business days after the debriefing for the contractor to submit written questions on the debriefing.
- Federal Circuit recently issued a decision clarifying this rule.
- A protester's five-day clock for filing a protest begins to tick on the day of the debriefing itself. That clock stops only if a protester submits written questions within two business days. If the protestor submits written questions, then the five-day clock starts over and starts to count from the date the government provides answers to those written questions.



Debriefing Strategies

Pitfalls for the Unwary

- Waiting too long to request a debriefing
- Assuming that the debriefing time extension for CICA Stays applies to all debriefings (must be a "required" debriefing)
- Deferring a pre-award debriefing

Myths

- I shouldn't request a debriefing until I have reason to suspect the agency did something wrong
- I should use my debriefing to let the contracting officer know exactly what I think of his/her decision and convince him/her to change the award
- I'm planning on protesting, I should bring my attorney to the debriefing
- I can protest the agency's failure to provide a required debriefing



Defending Your Contract Award Under Protest

- Awardees can and should intervene
- Why intervene?
 - Seat at the table to assist defense and influence course of the protest
 - Assist defense of protest
 - Time, legal expertise, technical expertise, motivation
 - Opportunity to convince agency not to take corrective action or challenge an attempt to take corrective action
 - Avoid potential empty chair issues
 - Benefit of knowledge gained from protest
 - Risk of being charged with imputed knowledge if you don't intervene

 OLES MORRISON

Defending Your Contract Award Under Protest

Effective Intervention at GAO

- Intervener files its briefing (Comments) after the Gov't and at the same time as the Protester
- –Get ahead of the game
 - Look for grounds for dismissal file a motion to dismiss early
 - Contact Gov't as early as possible
 - Active support limits likelihood of corrective action
 - Volunteer to assist and provide help even if they don't ask for it
 - -Provide Gov't counsel legal research
 - -try to let them involve you in the Agency Report
 - -make sure that the Agency Report is legally sound



Defending Your Contract Award Under Protest

Effective Intervention at the COFC

- —Intervener files its briefing at the same time as the Gov't
- -Collaborate with DOJ on MJAR briefing if possible
- -Intervenor's MJAR can be very meaningful



Understanding Protective Orders

- Vast majority of GAO and COFC bid protests are done under Protective Order
- Only people admitted to the Protective Order can see source selection sensitive materials (i.e. most of the protest related documents)
- Who can be admitted to Protective Orders?
 - Outside counsel
 - Experts/Consultants
 - In rare circumstances in-house counsel
- Impacts of Protective Order
 - Client will not get to review anything before its filed other than the initial protest
 - Client get to see only a small subset of filings, mostly in redacted form
 - Requires client to give good direction in advance to attorney
 - Requires trust outside counsel has to make strategic decisions without consulting client
 - Trying to prosecute a protest without having an attorney admitted greatly diminishes your chance of success
 OLES MORRISON

Questions?



Howard W. Roth 206.849.1022 p roth@oles.com oles.com Meghan A. Douris 206.467.7452 p douris@oles.com oles.com Alix K. Town 206.467.7452 p town@oles.com oles.com

